

ANSI/ASB Standard 148, First Edition
2024

**Standard for Personal Identification in
Forensic Anthropology**



ASB
ACADEMY
STANDARDS BOARD



Standard for Personal Identification in Forensic Anthropology

ASB Approved July, 2023

ANSI Approved July, 2024



410 North 21st Street
Colorado Springs, CO 80904

This document may be downloaded from: www.aafs.org/academy-standards-board

This document is provided by the AAFS Academy Standards Board. Users are permitted to print and download the document and extracts from the document for personal use, however the following actions are prohibited under copyright:

- *modifying this document or its related graphics in any way;*
- *using any illustrations or any graphics separately from any accompanying text; and,*
- *failing to include an acknowledgment alongside the copied material noting the AAFS Academy Standards Board as the copyright holder and publisher.*

Users may not reproduce, duplicate, copy, sell, resell, or exploit for any commercial purposes this document or any portion of it. Users may create a hyperlink to www.aafs.org/academy-standards-board to allow persons to download their individual free copy of this document. The hyperlink must not portray AAFS, the AAFS Standards Board, this document, our agents, associates and affiliates in an offensive manner, or be misleading or false. ASB trademarks may not be used as part of a link without written permission from ASB.

The AAFS Standards Board retains the sole right to submit this document to any other forum for any purpose.

Certain commercial entities, equipment or materials may be identified in this document to describe a procedure or concept adequately. Such identification is not intended to imply recommendations or endorsement by the AAFS or the AAFS Standards Board, nor is it intended to imply that the entities, materials, or equipment are necessarily the best available for the purpose.

Proper citation of ASB documents includes the designation, title, edition, and year of publication.

*This document is copyrighted © by the AAFS Standards Board, LLC. 2024 All rights are reserved.
410 North 21st Street, Colorado Springs, CO 80904, www.aafs.org/academy-standards-board.*

Foreword

This document was developed to provide guidance to forensic anthropologists who assist with the process of personal identification. While the legal determination of a personal identification, signified by placing an individual's name on a death certificate, is the purview of the medicolegal authority, forensic anthropologists are consulted to assist in this process. Forensic anthropologists contribute to a personal identification through scientific identification methods or by providing corroborative evidence from skeletal analyses.

The American Academy of Forensic Sciences established the Academy Standards Board (ASB) in 2015 with a vision of safeguarding Justice, Integrity and Fairness through Consensus Based American National Standards. To that end, the ASB develops consensus based forensic standards within a framework accredited by the American National Standards Institute (ANSI), and provides training to support those standards. ASB values integrity, scientific rigor, openness, due process, collaboration, excellence, diversity and inclusion. ASB is dedicated to developing and making freely accessible the highest quality documentary forensic science consensus Standards, Guidelines, Best Practices, and Technical Reports in a wide range of forensic science disciplines as a service to forensic practitioners and the legal system.

This document was revised, prepared, and finalized as a standard by the Anthropology Consensus Body of the AAFS Standards Board. The draft of this standard was developed by the Anthropology Subcommittee of the Organization of Scientific Area Committees (OSAC) for Forensic Science.

Questions, comments, and suggestions for the improvement of this document can be sent to AAFS-ASB Secretariat, asb@aafs.org or 401 N 21st Street, Colorado Springs, CO 80904.

All hyperlinks and web addresses shown in this document are current as of the publication date of this standard.

ASB procedures are publicly available, free of cost, at www.aafs.org/academy-standards-board.

Keywords: *forensic anthropology, personal identification, scientific identification, biological profile*

Table of Contents

1 Scope 1

2 Normative References 1

3 Terms and Definitions 1

4 Requirements 2

4.1 General 2

4.2 Procedure 2

Standard for Personal Identification in Forensic Anthropology

1 Scope

This standard provides approaches for establishing a personal identification in forensic anthropology using both scientific identification methods and contributory anthropological findings.

This standard does not address identification of living individuals.

2 Normative References

There are no normative reference documents.

3 Terms and Definitions

For purposes of this document, the following definitions apply.

3.1

anomaly

A deviation from typical skeletal anatomy; often nonlethal or nondisruptive to function; it may or may not have clinical or forensic significance.

3.2

biological profile

The description of an individual's estimated age, sex, ancestry/population affinity, and living stature derived from an anthropological (skeletal) analysis.

3.3

comparative medical imaging

An identification technique involving the direct comparison of antemortem and postmortem medical imaging to include radiographs, computed tomography (CT), magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and other modalities.

3.4

facial approximation

An investigative technique combining anatomical knowledge, artistic capability, and forensic anthropological evidence to render an estimate of an individual's facial appearance in life.

3.5

pathological condition

Skeletal abnormality resulting from disease processes.

3.6

personal effects

Property, including clothing, jewelry, wallets, and other items found near/associated with an individual.

3.7

personal identification

The association of a set of remains to a known individual.

3.8

scientific identification

The process of systematically comparing antemortem and postmortem biological data to facilitate a personal identification.

3.9

skull-photo superimposition

A technique involving the superimposition of a facial photograph or video of a known individual with an unidentified skull.

3.10

surgical implants

Devices or tissues that are surgically placed.

4 Requirements

4.1 General

Forensic anthropology practitioners contribute to personal identification in two ways. The first is through scientific identification methods. The second is through methods that contribute to an identification by including or excluding possible candidates (such as use of the biological profile).

The practitioner shall record, document, and describe observations and data used in the process. All documentation should be of sufficient detail to allow for blind reanalysis by a second practitioner, review by internal and/or external reviewers, or evaluation of the interpretations by an external party.

When forensic anthropologists are presented with identification data that are either insufficient for or beyond the scope of anthropological assessment, experts including odontologists, pathologists, radiologists, or other imaging experts shall be consulted and/or cases shall be referred.

4.2 Procedure

4.2.1 Methods of Scientific Identification

4.2.1.1 General

The goal of scientific identification methods is to systematically establish the consistency of antemortem and postmortem data in sufficient detail to justify the conclusion that they are or are not from the same individual. Analysts should consider possible internal and external sources of bias and establish and document procedures designed to mitigate these influences prior to conducting scientific identifications.

4.2.1.2 Comparative Medical Imaging

Identification by comparative medical imaging shall use antemortem imaging from the medical/dental records of the suspected decedent, and postmortem imaging that simulate the

clinical imaging in scope and projection. The forensic anthropologist should examine the postmortem radiographs separately from the antemortem radiographs prior to making the comparison between them.

The practitioner performs a comparison, looking for consistencies and inconsistencies in the available imaging. A comparison should involve the following: examine one set of imaging (antemortem or postmortem) independently; note features/characteristics that may be useful for comparison; examine second set of imaging; record consistencies and inconsistencies between the sets of imaging that will be used for reporting. Examples of features/characteristics that may be useful for comparison may include:

- bone morphology (e.g., vertebral spinous process, paranasal sinuses, osteophytes);
- trabecular patterns;
- skeletal anomalies and pathological conditions;
- orientation and placement of foreign materials, including bullets, shrapnel, surgical implants, or intervention materials such as sutures or sternotomy wires;
- dental features, including morphology, restorations, pathological conditions, or missing teeth.

The antemortem and postmortem imaging shall correspond with sufficient detail to conclude that they are from the same individual with no differences that cannot be explained by variation in imaging angulation, postmortem artifact, and/or changes (i.e., developmental, degenerative, medical/dental interventions) that occurred between the antemortem and postmortem imaging.

Currently, there are no widely accepted established minimum number of points of concordance or a threshold for the quality of consistencies necessary to support the findings. Existing data on morphological variation across populations is insufficient for statistical statements of the strength of identification to be calculated in most cases. The number of points of concordance may vary depending on the region of the skeleton being evaluated or foreign materials being compared.

4.2.1.3 Serial Numbers on Surgical Implants

Identification using surgical implants shall involve comparing the manufacturer's unique serial numbers on the device to available antemortem information, including: medical records, local/national registries, or manufacturer databases. It is important to note that some surgical implants may only contain a lot number which is not unique to an individual and thus may only be useful in narrowing the search or as one of multiple lines of evidence (see 4.2.2.4).

4.2.2 Anthropological Findings Contributing to Identification

4.2.2.1 General

The data and records produced by forensic anthropology practitioners can contribute to a more comprehensive form of personal identification made by the appropriate medicolegal authority (via a preponderance of evidence) or can lead to likely candidates for an identification comparison. These approaches are not sufficient for an identification alone, but may be used as one of multiple lines of evidence to support a personal identification.

4.2.2.2 Biological Profile

The biological profile can be compared to documented information or databases of missing persons. Based upon consistencies or inconsistencies between the biological profile and missing persons information, candidates for identification may be included or excluded from further consideration. A biological profile consistent with documented information about the presumptive individual can provide corroborative evidence to support a personal identification; however, it shall not be used in isolation as a basis for personal identification.

4.2.2.3 Potentially Individualizing Features

Potentially individualizing features are skeletal or dental characteristics that may assist with the identification of the individual should antemortem records and/or imaging become available that can support an inclusion or exclusion of a potential identification. These characteristics may include but are not limited to pathological conditions, anomalies, and antemortem trauma. Potentially individualizing features consistent with the documented information about the presumptive individual can provide corroborative evidence of identification. These features shall not be used in isolation as a basis for personal identification if no antemortem imaging is available. If the antemortem medical records are sufficient for comparative medical imaging, refer to [4.2.1.2](#).

4.2.2.4 Lot Numbers on Surgical Implants

Lot numbers are assigned to identify a particular group, shipment, or lot of material from a manufacturer and are thus not unique to an individual. Since registries may not provide adequate information to associate the surgical implement with a particular individual, lot numbers may provide corroborative information but shall not be used in isolation to support a personal identification.

4.2.2.5 Skull-photo Superimposition

Skull-photo superimposition (e.g., photographic or video) may also be useful for identification in limited circumstances. Congruence between structures visible in both the antemortem image and the skull can provide corroborative evidence of identification. An identification shall not be made from this information alone.

4.2.3 Considerations and Adjustments

4.2.3.1 Personal Effects and Other Evidence

Information obtained from physical evidence and personal effects that are associated with human remains may contribute to the location of potential associations, or support a personal identification. Analysts should consider possible internal and external sources of bias and establish a process to mitigate these influences prior to conducting analyses.

4.2.3.2 Facial Approximation

The practice of facial approximation is intended to capture public attention in regard to a case, and to suggest persons to whom the remains might belong when all other scientific leads have been exhausted. Facial approximation shall not be used as a means of personal identification.

4.2.3.3 Individualizing Features

Consideration of individualizing features should take into account the populational frequencies of particular skeletal features, if known. The temporal interval between the records shall also be considered and assessed in the context of the comparison.

4.2.3.4 Medical Records

Medical records in the absence of medical imaging should not be used as a single line of evidence for an identification as they are not necessarily accurate, current, or comprehensive representations of an individual's medical or dental history.

4.2.3.5 Databases

Forensic anthropology practitioners with appropriate authority and access should contribute the results of their analyses of unidentified individuals to relevant databases of missing and unidentified persons, or provide the information to an agency that does have authority and access (e.g., NamUs, NCIC).

4.2.4 Reporting

The content of the report shall include a summary of the methods and comparative findings used to form an opinion regarding identification. The supporting documentation for the report shall allow for independent replication and verification of the work performed and the conclusions drawn. Documentation of observations shall include a written description and when available, supporting images (e.g., photographic, radiological, sketches, and/or diagrams). When scientific identification methods (see 4.2.1) are used, opinions and/or recommendations can include identification, exclusion, and inconclusive. When anthropological findings contributing to a personal identification (see 4.2.2) are used, opinions can include consistent with, inconsistent with, and inconclusive.



ASB
ACADEMY
STANDARDS BOARD

Academy Standards Board
410 North 21st Street
Colorado Springs, CO 80904

www.aafs.org/academy-standards-board