

ANSI/ASB Standard 090, First Edition
2019

Standard for Sex Estimation in Forensic Anthropology



ASB
ACADEMY
STANDARDS BOARD



Standard for Sex Estimation in Forensic Anthropology

ASB Approved August 2019

ANSI Approved December 2019



410 North 21st Street
Colorado Springs, CO 80904

This document may be downloaded from: www.aafs.org/academy-standards-board

This document is provided by the AAFS Standards Board (ASB). Users are permitted to print and download the document and extracts from the document for personal use, however the following actions are prohibited under copyright:

- *modifying this document or its related graphics in any way;*
- *using any illustrations or any graphics separately from any accompanying text; and,*
- *failing to include an acknowledgment alongside the copied material noting the AAFS Standards Board as the copyright holder and publisher.*

Users may not reproduce, duplicate, copy, sell, resell, or exploit for any commercial purposes this document or any portion of it. Users may create a hyperlink to www.aafs.org/academy-standards-board to allow persons to download their individual free copy of this document. The hyperlink must not portray AAFS, the AAFS Standards Board, this document, our agents, associates and affiliates in an offensive manner, or be misleading or false. ASB trademarks may not be used as part of a link without written permission from ASB.

The AAFS Standards Board retains the sole right to submit this document to any other forum for any purpose.

Certain commercial entities, equipment or materials may be identified in this document to describe a procedure or concept adequately. Such identification is not intended to imply recommendations or endorsement by the AAFS or the AAFS Standards Board, nor is it intended to imply that the entities, materials, or equipment are necessarily the best available for the purpose.

Proper citation of ASB documents includes the designation, title, edition, and year of publication.

*This document is copyrighted © by the AAFS Standards Board, LLC. 2019 All rights are reserved.
410 North 21st Street, Colorado Springs, CO 80904, www.aafs.org/academy-standards-board.*

Foreword

This document has been developed with the objective of improving the accuracy, quality, objectivity, and consistency of estimating sex from skeletal remains. Anthropological conclusions derived from the morphologic, metric, and medical imaging analyses of human remains are often supported by statistical frequencies, error probabilities, and confidence intervals from available reference data in the peer-reviewed published literature. It is acknowledged that the reference samples used in various methods do not reflect the totality of global human variation; therefore, conclusions cannot always be supported by these statistical measures. As such, this document encourages continued research and scholarly debate to improve the scientific validity of estimating sex from skeletal remains.

Internal and external sources of bias and procedures to mitigate these factors are considered during any anthropological analysis.

The American Academy of Forensic Sciences established the Academy Standards Board (ASB) in 2015 with a vision of safeguarding Justice, Integrity and Fairness through Consensus Based American National Standards. To that end, the ASB develops consensus based forensic standards within a framework accredited by the American National Standards Institute (ANSI), and provides training to support those standards. ASB values integrity, scientific rigor, openness, due process, collaboration, excellence, diversity and inclusion. ASB is dedicated to developing and making freely accessible the highest quality documentary forensic science consensus Standards, Guidelines, Best Practices, and Technical Reports in a wide range of forensic science disciplines as a service to forensic practitioners and the legal system.

This document was revised, prepared, and finalized as a standard by the Anthropology Consensus Body of the AAFS Standards Board. The draft of this standard was developed by the Scientific Working Group of Forensic Anthropology (SWGANTH) and updated by the Anthropology Subcommittee of the Organization of Scientific Area Committees (OSAC) for Forensic Science.

Questions, comments, and suggestions for the improvement of this document can be sent to AAFS-ASB Secretariat, asb@aafs.org or 410 N 21st Street, Colorado Springs, CO 80904.

All hyperlinks and web addresses shown in this document are current as of the publication date of this standard.

ASB procedures are publicly available, free of cost, at www.aafs.org/academy-standards-board.

Keywords: *sex estimation; Biological profile; Personal identification*

Table of Contents

1	Scope.....	1
2	Normative References.....	1
3	Terms and Definitions.....	1
4	Requirements.....	2
4.1	Introduction.....	2
4.2	Procedure.....	2
5	Conformance.....	3
	Annex A (informative) Bibliography	4

Standard for Sex Estimation in Forensic Anthropology

1 Scope

This standard sets forth methods for estimating sex from adult skeletal elements obtained directly from skeletal remains or radiographic images of skeletal remains. The methods in this standard provide a morphologically-based or mathematically-based scientific manner for estimating sex, and for documenting the sex estimation process. This document does not include sex estimation in skeletal elements from subadults, or through DNA analysis.

2 Normative References

There are no normative reference documents. Annex A, Bibliography, contains informative references.

3 Terms and Definitions

For purposes of this document, the following definitions apply.

NOTE The term estimation is more generic and largely interchangeable with determination and assessment in the relevant literature; the term estimation often implies the development of error, probabilities, and/or expected classification rates.

3.1

biological profile

The description of an individual's estimated age, sex, ancestry, and living stature derived from an anthropological (skeletal) analysis.

3.2

estimate/estimation

An approximation derived from a statistical sample of a population.

3.3

gender

An individual's culturally mediated social expression along the feminine-masculine continuum.

3.4

metric estimation

An estimate based on measurement of skeletal elements.

3.5

morphological estimation

An estimation based on qualitative phenotypic traits without explicit measurement.

3.6

sex

The biological differences between males and females.

4 Requirements

4.1 Introduction

Skeletal remains shall be analyzed in a scientific manner to estimate sex. Techniques applied to estimate sex shall be appropriate for the skeletal elements available. All techniques used shall be documented in such a way to allow verification and replication of the work performed.

Sex estimation should be made independently of suspected or presumptive identification to minimize bias.

When applicable, population-specific and time/period-specific methods shall be used to estimate sex.

Estimation of sex shall be based upon the available age-appropriate cranial and postcranial variables, focusing on the most dimorphic elements present.

Practitioners of forensic anthropology shall implement this standard to the extent applicable, practical, and appropriate.

4.2 Procedure

4.2.1 General

Choice of sex estimation methods shall be dictated by the skeletal elements available, their condition/degree of preservation, and the general age of the individual. When multiple methods are available, the method(s) with the greatest accuracy shall be given greater consideration when evaluating the totality of the evidence.

Analysis of skeletal remains for sex estimation may involve different or multiple approaches, including the use of clearly defined morphological and metric variables. Metric and morphological variables shall be measured or scored and recorded according to generally accepted procedures.

4.2.2 Morphological Traits

Skeletal morphological traits may vary between the sexes by shape, features, and/or relative size. When multiple morphological traits are available, the most dimorphic traits shall be given greater consideration. In most cases, the pelvis is more sexually dimorphic than other regions of the skeleton.

Dimorphic traits used to draw conclusions should be appropriately documented. The use of exemplars from similar populations may be helpful.

4.2.3 Cranial and Postcranial Metrics

Metric estimates of sex are based on the size and/or shape of the skeleton and dentition.

In most cases, postcranial measurements produce more accurate estimates of sex than cranial measurements.

In most cases, multivariate techniques are more accurate than univariate techniques.

Measurements shall be taken following method-specific definitions and/or measurement guidelines.^[2,6]

4.2.4 Considerations

Sex estimation of skeletal remains can be compared with antemortem records and other information contributing to the identification process. Accurate estimation of sex is critical to the estimation of ancestry, age, and stature, and is an essential element in the biological profile that can narrow a list of missing persons.

While estimating sex, potentially confounding factors include socio-economic status, secular change, pathological conditions, trauma, taphonomy, and biomechanical demands on the skeleton.

This standard is applicable to adult skeletal remains; however, methods also exist for subadults.

Gender cannot be determined from skeletal remains.

Conflicting morphological and/or metric indicators of biological sex should be documented and described. Contextual indicators inconsistent with the estimated sex may also be noted.

When population-specific standards are not available, caution should be used when estimating sex.

Presumed indicators of parity should not be used for sex estimation.

4.2.5 Reporting

Sex estimates should be reported as female, male, probable female, probable male, or undetermined.

The degree of certainty should be expressed when reporting sex estimates. This may be expressed numerically (e.g., correct classification rates, method accuracies) and qualitatively as necessary (i.e., using qualifiers such as probable). Cases in which sex cannot be assessed shall be reported as undetermined.

Reports shall include the methods used to estimate sex.

5 Conformance

Evidence of documentation showing that procedures were followed is used to demonstrate conformance.

Annex A (informative)

Bibliography

This is not meant to be an all-inclusive list as the group recognizes other publications on this subject may exist. At the time this document was drafted, these were some of the publications available for reference. Additionally, any mention of a particular software tool or vendor as part of this bibliography is purely incidental, and any inclusion does not imply endorsement by the authors of this document.

- 1] Bass WM. 2005. *Human Osteology: A Laboratory and Field Manual*, 5th ed. Missouri Archaeological Society, Columbia.
- 2] Buikstra JE, Ubelaker DH. 1994. *Standards for Data Collection from Human Skeletal Remains*. Fayetteville, Arkansas: Archaeological Survey.
- 3] France DL. 1998. Observational and Metric Analysis of Sex in the Skeleton. In: Reichs, KJ (Ed.), *Forensic Osteology: Advances in the Identification of Human Remains*, second ed. Charles C. Thomas Press, Springfield, pp. 441-458.
- 4] Jantz RL, Ousley SD. 2005. *FORDISC 3: Computerized Forensic Discriminant Functions*. Version 3.1. The University of Tennessee, Knoxville.
- 5] Klales AR, Ousley SD, Vollner JM. 2012. A Revised Method of Sexing the Human Innominate Using Phenice's Nonmetric Traits and Statistical Methods. *Am. J. Phys. Anthropol.* 149:104-114.
- 6] Langley NR, Meadows Jantz L, Ousley SD, Jantz RL, and Milner G. 2016. *Data Collection Procedures for Forensic Skeletal Material 2.0*. The University of Tennessee, Knoxville.
- 7] Phenice TW. 1969. A Newly Developed Visual Method of Sexing the Os Pubis. *Am. J. Phys. Anthropol.* 30, 297-302.
- 8] Spradley MK, Jantz RL. 2011. Sex Estimation in Forensic Anthropology: Skull Versus Postcranial Elements. *J. Forensic Sci.* 56(2): 289-296.
- 9] Walker PL. 2008. Sexing Skulls Using Discriminant Function Analysis of Visually Assessed Traits. *Am. J. Phys. Anthropol.* 136:39-50.
- 10] White TD, Black MT, Folkens PA. 2012. *Human Osteology*, 3rd edition. Academic Press, San Diego.



ASB
ACADEMY
STANDARDS BOARD

Academy Standards Board
410 North 21st Street
Colorado Springs, CO 80904

www.aafs.org/academy-standards-board